Natural Product Synthesis

Stereospecific Total Synthesis of the Antiviral Agent Hamigeran B—Use of Large Silyl Groups to Enforce Facial Selectivity and to Suppress Hydrogenolysis**

Derrick L. J. Clive* and Jian Wang

We report the stereospecific synthesis of hamigeran B (1, Scheme 1), which is an important member of a group of related compounds isolated^[1] from a marine sponge. Hamigeran B has been found to have strong in vitro activity against

Scheme 1. Hamigeran B (1) and the radical cyclization of 2.

polio and herpes viruses but little cytotoxicity.^[1] The compound presents a number of constructional problems, and the significant biological properties enhance the potential value of work on its synthesis. One route to hamigeran B and several congeners has been reported.^[2] We aimed to deal only with the synthesis of hamigeran B itself and to do so in a stereospecific manner.

The most obvious synthetic difficulty resides in the stereochemistry at C6 (see 1), as the bulky isopropyl group extends into the more hindered concave face of the structure. This orientation probably disqualifies methods that rely on stereochemical equilibration at C6. Likewise, radical cyclization (see 2), which is a powerful method for making [4.3.0] bicyclic structures with cis ring fusion, does not^[3] generate the correct stereochemistry at C6 because the required transition state is destabilized by steric interactions engendered by the isopropylidene group. Further synthetic analysis, as well as experimental work, suggested that double-bond reduction (Scheme 2, $3\rightarrow 4$), possibly directed by a suitably placed substituent, would merit detailed investigation. We recognized, however, that the double bond in 3, being tetrasubstituted, might be resistant to hydrogenation.^[4] Also, examination of Dreiding models exposed another potential difficulty: it was not obvious from the shape of 3 whether

^[*] Prof. Dr. D. L. J. Clive, J. Wang Chemistry Department University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta, T6G 2G2 (Canada) Fax: (+1) 780-492-8231 E-mail: derrick.clive@ualberta.ca

^[**] We thank NSERC for financial support, Dr. R. McDonald (X-Ray Crystallography Laboratory) for crystal-structure determinations, and Professor S. Bergens and S. Fletcher for valuable advice.

Scheme 2. Key transformation in the synthesis of hamigeran B (1).

hydrogenation would occur preferentially from the α or β face. Nonetheless, we decided to examine the approach shown in Scheme 2, and we were eventually able, through the temporary introduction of additional steric factors, to develop it into a powerful approach for solving the stereochemical problems inherent in the convex shape and substitution pattern of hamigeran B.

The phenolic carboxylic acid **5** (Scheme 3), easily prepared from *m*-cresol by Friedel–Crafts acylation with succinic anhydride^[5] followed by Clemmensen reduction,^[6] was

Scheme 3. Preparation of the key intermediate 13. Reagents and conditions: a) Me₂SO₄, aqueous NaOH, reflux, 12 h, 67% from *m*-cresol; b) LDA, THF, HMPA, -78°C, Mel, 96%; c) POCl₃, Cl₂CHCHCl₂, reflux, 5 h, 83%; d) LDA, THF, -78°C, allyl bromide, 91%; e) OsO₄, NaIO₄, dioxane/water 3:1, 3.5 h, 76%; f) iBuMgCl, Et₂O, -78°C, 89%; g) PCC, CH₂Cl₂, 3.5 h, 87%; h) aqueous EtOH, NaOH, reflux, 36 h, 98%. HMPA=hexamethylphosphoric triamide, LDA=lithium diisopropylamide, PCC=pyridinium chlorochromate.

treated with Me_2SO_4 under alkaline conditions $(5\rightarrow 6)$, [5] and then methylated [7] at the position α to the carboxy group. Cyclization, by treatment with POCl₃ $(7\rightarrow 8^{[7]})$, and allylation gave the substituted tetralone 9. Double-bond cleavage $(9\rightarrow 10)$ and exposure to iBuMgCl at low temperature then led to keto alcohols 11, and oxidation afforded the corresponding diketone 12. This was cyclized under basic conditions in good yield to produce our first key intermediate, 13.

Hydrogenation of 13 over Pd/C in MeOH (H_2 , 345 kPa) gave the crystalline ketone 14 (Scheme 4) as the major product^[8] (52 % yield), which has the undesired β stereochemistry at C6, as determined by X-ray analysis. On the

Scheme 4. Some transformations of enone **13**. DIBAL-H = diisobutylaluminum hydride.

assumption that the desired α stereochemistry was generated initially but that the product underwent carbonyl-mediated epimerization, we attempted to reduce ketone 13 to the corresponding allylic alcohol in order to remove that possibility. Treatment of 13 with NaBH₄ and CeCl₃·7H₂0, was unsuccessful, as the compound reacted much too slowly, but reaction with DIBAL-H (0°C to room temperature) was rapid. However, the resulting allylic alcohol is very sensitive, and exposure to silica gel during flash chromatography caused dehydration, so that the material isolated (98%) was the diene 15 (Scheme 4). Hydrogenation of this diene (Pd/C, H₂, 345 kPa, MeOH) did saturate the two double bonds, but an inseparable mixture (ca. 1:1 in some experiments) of stereoisomers was formed. We attributed this result to a lack of facial selectivity, and so we decided to introduce a bulky group to block the α face of ketone 13. To this end, the ketone was dehydrogenated with DDQ (Scheme 5, 13→16). Dihydroxylation (16→17) with OsO₄ and NMO occurred anti to the adjacent methyl substituent, as desired, and the stage was now set to mask the hydroxy groups with a bulky substituent, so as to direct subsequent hydrogenation exclusively to the opposite face.

Scheme 5. Reagents and conditions: a) DDQ, dioxane, reflux, 8.5 h, 78%; b) OsO₄, NMO, CCl₄/water/tBuOH/acetone 5:1:4:6, 13 h, 98%; c) Me₂C(OMe)₂, TsOH-pyridine, acetone, 4 h, 88%; d) DIBAL-H, CH₂Cl₂, 0°C, 8 h, 54% of **19**, 23% of **20**; e) Pd/C, H₂, 345 kPa, MeOH. DDQ = 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone, NMO = 5-methylmorpholine *N*-oxide, Ts = toluene-4-sulfonyl.

Zuschriften

Conversion of diol 17 into ketal 18 was achieved by standard procedures, and the carbonyl group was then reduced with DIBAL-H to give a 2.3:1 mixture of allylic alcohol **19** (tentative stereochemistry shown at C7^[9]) and diene 20. Hydrogenation of 19 gave 21 and a mixture of substances lacking a benzylic oxygen at C11 (absence of ¹H NMR signals at $\delta \approx 5$ ppm for the benzylic CH-O). With short reaction times (1 h) 21 was the major product (ca. 80%) yield). Only the C7-C8 double bond of diene 20 was reduced when we used Rh/Al₂O₃ (H₂, 4826 kPa, 50°C, MeOH), Wilkinson's catalyst (H₂, 2758 kPa, MeOH, 50 °C), or Raney 2800 Ni (Aldrich; 7929 kPa, 60°C, MeOH). Hydrogenation of 20 gave 22 (92% yield, stereochemistry at C5 and C6 not established, Scheme 5). Olefin 21 did not react in refluxing THF with BH₃ or 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane (9-BBN). Our failure to effect hydroboration and the finding that hydrogenolysis occurred at C11 in experiments with Pd/C caused us to modify the route in a small but effective way.

With the aim of avoiding hydrogenolysis of the C11 oxygen, we decided to protect the hydroxy groups of diol **17** with substituents having sufficient bulk to hinder coordination^[10,11] of the benzylic oxygen to the catalyst surface, and so we silylated the hydroxy group with $tBuMe_2SiOSO_2CF_3$ (Scheme 6, **17** \rightarrow **23**). DIBAL-H reduction gave an allylic

Scheme 6. Reagents and conditions: a) $tBuMe_2SiOSO_2CF_3$, CH_2Cl_2 , 2,6-lutidine, 6 h, 84%; b) DIBAL-H, CH_2Cl_2 , 0°C to room temperature, 10 h, 94%; c) Pd/C, H_2 , 345 kPa, MeOH, 18%; d) Pd/C, H_2 , 345 kPa, MeOH, 35%; e) Pd/C, H_2 , 345 kPa, MeOH, 93%. Si*=SitBuMe₂.

alcohol (23 \rightarrow 24, stereochemistry at C7 not determined). Hydrogenation of 24 provided the desired product 26 but in low yield (18%). When the reaction time was only 6.5 h, one of the products was monoene 25 (35%), and this compound could be hydrogenated in high yield to give the desired 26. These observations showed that the hydroxy group of 24 had a deleterious effect on the outcome of our hydrogenation experiments. Accordingly, we dehydrated allylic alcohol 24 to give the corresponding diene 27 (Scheme 7). Elimination of the intermediate mesylate is slow and required higher temperatures, but the diene, once formed, was very wellbehaved and could be reduced to 26 with the required relative stereochemistry at the three contiguous asymmetric centers, C5, C6, and C9. Compound 26 is crystalline, but the crystals were unsuitable for X-ray analysis. However, desilylation (Scheme 7, 26→28), which required unusually harsh conditions but worked efficiently, gave a nicely crystalline diol, whose structure was determined by X-ray analysis. Diol oxidation (28→29) was easily performed under Swern conditions, and the next task was to remove the O-methyl group of 29. This was done in 78% yield by treatment with LiCl in

Scheme 7. Reagents and conditions: a) MsCl, Et₃N, ClCH₂CH₂Cl, room temperature for 30 min, then reflux for 8 h, 80%; b) Pd/C, H₂, 269 kPa, 1:1 MeOH/hexane, 36 h, 85%; c) Bu₄NF, THF, reflux, 24 h, 95%; d) (COCl)₂, DMSO, Et₃N, -78°C, 1 h, room temperature, 2 h, 92%; e) LiCl, DMF, reflux, 20 h, 78%; f) NBS, iPr₂NH, CH₂Cl₂, 3 h, 94%. Si*=SitBuMe₂. DMSO=dimethyl sulfoxide, Ms=methanesulfonyl, NBS=N-bromosuccinimide.

refluxing DMF ($29\rightarrow30$);^[13] other standard reagents (BBr₃, AlCl₃, Me₃SiI) destroyed the starting methyl ether. Finally, bromination of **30** with NBS in the presence of iPr₂NH, a reagent system known to favor *ortho* bromination of phenols,^[14] gave hamigeran B (**1**) in 94% yield. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR, FTIR, and MS characteristics of our synthetic material match those reported^[1] for the natural product.

The special features of this synthesis are the use of very simple reactions—a fact which should make the route amenable to scale-up, the application of steric factors to ensure facial selectivity, and the protection against hydrogenolysis^[15] that is afforded by the bulky *t*BuMe₂Si groups.

Received: March 31, 2003 [Z51519]

Keywords: hamigeran B · hydrogenolysis · natural products · silyl groups · total synthesis

- K. D. Wellington, R. C. Cambie, P. S. Rutledge, P. R. Bergquist, J. Nat. Prod. 2000, 63, 79–85.
- [2] a) K. C. Nicolaou, D. Gray, J. Tae, Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 3787–3790; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 3675–3678; b) K. C. Nicolaou, D. Gray, J. Tae, Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 3791–3795; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 3679–3683.
- [3] J. Wang, unpublished observations.
- [4] a) M. Freifelder, Catalytic Hydrogenation in Organic Synthesis. Procedures and Commentary, Wiley, New York, 1978, p. 15; b) P. N. Rylander, Catalytic Hydrogenation over Platinum Metals, Academic Press, New York, 1967, p. 91; c) Examples of hydrogenation of tetrasubstituted double bonds conjugated with benzene rings are known (Beilstein database); see, especially: A. C. G. Gray, H. Hart, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 2569–2578.
- [5] R. G. Cooke, H. Dowd, Aust. J. Chem. 1953, 6, 53-57.
- [6] G. L. Martin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1936, 58, 1438–1442.
- [7] Cf.L. Ruzicka, H. Hösli, K. Hofmann, Helv. Chim. Acta 1936, 19, 370–377.
- [8] Another stereoisomer was formed for which no NOE was observed between H5 and the methyl group at C9.
- [9] Based on observation of NOE effects.
- [10] Cf. M. J. Gaunt, J. Yu, J. B. Spencer, J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 4172–4173
- [11] It is believed that hydrogenolysis is facilitated by development of a partial positive charge on the benzylic carbon (see ref. [10]); siloxy groups are not as effective at stabilizing an adjacent positive charge as alkoxy groups (see ref. [12]).

- [12] E. Colvin, Silicon in Organic Synthesis, Butterworths, London, 1981, p. 12.
- [13] A. M. Bernard, M. R. Ghiani, P. P. Piras, A. Rivoldini, *Synthesis* 1987, 287–288.
- [14] a) S. Fujisaki, H. Eguchi, A. Omura, A. Okamoto, A. Nishida, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1993, 66, 1576–1579; b) K. Krohn, S. Bernhard, U. Flörke, N. Hayat, J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 3218– 3222.
- [15] Examples of the following have been reported (without comment): A benzylic *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl ether survives hydrogenation of disubstituted (e.g. ref. [16]) and trisubstituted (ref. [2a]) double bonds. A tertiary benzylic trimethylsilyl ether survives hydrogenation of a tetrasubstituted double bond (ref. [17]).
- [16] a) T. J. Connoly, T. Durst, Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 15969–15982;
 b) C. R. Mateus, W. P. Almeida, F. Coelho, Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 2533–2536.
- [17] B. M. Trost, P. D. Greenspan, B. V. Yang, M. G. Saulnier, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 9022–9024.